| 1 | | |
|----------|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATI | ES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO. LA17-01598M |
| 11 | V. | } |
| 12 | VICTOR REYES-BORJAS | ORDER OF DETENTION |
| 13 | Defendant | } |
| 14 15 | Defendant. | } |
| 16 | | I. |
| 17 | A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving: | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | ` ' | num sentence of life imprisonment or death. |
| 20 | 3. () a narcotics or controlle | ed substance offense with maximum sentence |
| 21 | of ten or more years. | |
| 22 | 4. () any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more | |
| 23 | prior offenses described above. | |
| 24 | 5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a | |
| 25 | minor victim, or posse | ssion or use of a firearm or destructive device |
| 26 | or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 | |
| 27 | U.S.C § 2250. | |
| 28 | B. (X) On motion by the Govern | nment / () on Court's own motion, in a case |
| - 11 | | |

| 1 | allegedly involving: | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | (x) On the further allegation by the Government of: | | |
| 3 | 1. (x) a serious risk that the defendant will flee. | | |
| 4 | 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will: | | |
| 5 | () samuel of successive jubico. | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | attempt to do so. | | |
| 8 | C. The Government () is/ (x) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that n | | |
| 9 | condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant | | |
| 10 | appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | II. | | |
| 13 | A. (x) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions wil | | |
| 14 | reasonably assure: | | |
| 15 | 1. (χ) the appearance of the defendant as required. | | |
| 16 | (x) and/or | | |
| 17 | 2. (x) the safety of any person or the community. | | |
| 18 | B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficien | | |
| 9 | evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | III. | | |
| 22 | The Court has considered: | | |
| 23 | A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the | | |
| 24 | offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor | | |
| 25 | victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; | | |
| 26 | B. the weight of evidence against the defendant; | | |
| 27 | C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and | | |
| 8 | D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community. | | |

| 1 | IV. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing a | | | | |
| 3 | arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services | | | |
| 4 | Report/recommendation. | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | V. | | | |
| 7 | The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: | | | |
| 8 | A. (X) As to flight risk: | | | |
| 9 | Immigration status | | | |
| 10 | Prior violations of supervision | | | |
| ۱1 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | B. (x) As to danger: | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Criminal history Substance | | | |
| 9 | Prior violations of supervision | | | |
| 20 | Active warrants for criminal | | | |
| 21 | conduct while in custody. | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | VI. | | | |
| 25 | A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: | | | |
| 26 | 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. | | | |
| 27 | 2. () attempt to / () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror. | | | |
| | 2. () attempt to () uncaten, injure of minimum a writiess of jurof. | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| - 11 | ODDED OF DETENTION A ETED HEADING (19 1) S.C. 821/2/(1) | | | |

| 1 | B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | VII. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial. |
| 12 | B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the |
| 13 | custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility |
| 14 | separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving |
| 15 | sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. |
| 16 | C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable |
| 17 | opportunity for private consultation with counsel. |
| 18 | D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States |
| 19 | or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of |
| 20 | the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the |
| 21 | defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in |
| 22 | connection with a court proceeding. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | DATED: July 5, 2017 |
| 26 | DATED: July 5, 2017 |
| 27 | KENLY KIYA KATO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 28 | |